



## **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/258,013	02/25/99	SRIVASTAVA		Α	50277-236
_			$\neg$	EXAMINER	
		TM02/0911			
BRIAN D. HICKMAN				FRIET	0,B
HICKMAN PALI	ERMO TRUON(	8 % BECKER LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1600 WILLOW	STREET			-	
SAN JOSE CA	95125-5106	5		2152	
				DATE MAILED	):
					09/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/258,013	SRIVASTAVA ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		B. PRIETO	2152				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any m	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a representation of this within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01/08/01</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	(s)						
2) X Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/258,013 (SRIVASTAVA et. al.)

Art Unit: 2152

## **Detailed Action**

- 1. Drawings have been objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152, correction noted on PTO-948 is required.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a), which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herriot U.S. Patent No. 5,862,331 in view of Iba et. al. (Iba) U.S. Patent No. 5,835,766.

Regarding claims 1-2, Herriot teaches a method comprising the steps of; registering (register means col 3/lines 34-39, 46-56, col 5/lines 32-39) in a name (host 1-3) service (programs running of a server host having network related information, col 1/lines 36-48) participant data that identifies (identification information col 4/line 53-64, col 2/lines 52-61, e.g. name and/or address) a plurality of participants that are taking and/or forming part i.e. participating in said distributed operation (distributing computing environment, col 1/lines 49-56); and causing a node (requesting application program, col 3/lines 46-56, e.g. 204, nodes 101-103 acting as clients) that requires information about participants (required information col 4/lines 34-39, col 65-col 5, line 1, information needed to communicated, col 1/lines 12-19) in said distributed operation to retrieve said participant data (col 4/lines 34-col 5/line 6, data, col 3/lines 36-39) from said name service (requested/returned information, col 5/lines 43-51); however Herriot does not explicitly teach wherein the step of causing a node to retrieve said participant data includes causing said node to retrieve

Application/Control Number: 09/258,013 (SRIVASTAVA et. al.)

Art Unit: 2152

said participant data in response to said node particularly performing deadlock detection means;

Iba teaches means for causing a application node to retrieve information from a database participating in a distributed operation which includes causing said node to retrieve said participant data in response to said node performing an exclusive control means (col 4/lines 12-22, requesting application performing required lock acquisition means, database server participating in distributed transaction operations), disclosing means for enabling a application node to retrieve data from a database application of a plurality of database servers, said database applications providing information upon request, i.e. a database server which are participating in a distribution transaction (system means, col 1/lines 12-18, 58-42, abstract); It would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify existing system with means for causing any requesting program to retrieve data from a database server by particularly enabling deadlock generation and detection means so as to enable (stretch over) access to a plurality of resources operable to both distributed local transaction and distributed global transactions, as taught by Iba.

Regarding claim 3, the combined teachings as discussed above further teach wherein said distributed operation is a distributed transaction (Herriot, col 1/lines 49-56, and Iba, abstract, col 7/lines 32-40); and the step of registering includes registering in a name service participant data that identifies which database servers of a plurality of database servers are participating in said distributed transaction (Herriot, col 1/lines 49-56 server in a distributed computing environment having register means col 3/lines 34-39, 46-56, col 5/lines 32-39, registering in a name service, databases servers participating in said distributed transaction operation, Iba, col 7/lines 32-40, col 9/lines 9-14, abstract);

Regarding claim 4, the combined teachings as discussed above further teach further including the step of causing updates to said participant data to identify a new participant in said distributed operation (Herriot, col 2/lines 50-61, updating means col 6/lines 46-50 comprising identification of new/added participant).

Art Unit: 2152

Regarding claim 5, the combined teachings as discussed above further teach wherein said distributed operation is a distributed database transaction being executed by a set of transaction processes (Iba, col 1/lines 20-24) coordinated by transaction management means (a coordinator process) (Iba, col 1/lines 58-42, distributed transaction processing means, col 7/lines 32-460; the method further includes the step of said coordinator process causing a new process associated with name service participant data (Herriot, updates identify new host of services (application/process) added, col 6/lines 46-50, which identifies which database server of said plurality of database server participate in said distributed database transaction, wherein updates means causing to said participant response to said new process participating in said distributed database transaction; (Herriot, registering new (added) services/host, col 2/lines 56-61, Iba, distributed database transactions, col 7/lines 32-40, col 9/lines 9-14).

Regarding claim 6, comprises the combined limitation discussed on claims 1-3 and 5, same rationale is applicable;

Regarding claim 7 the combined teachings and limitations as discussed in claims 1-5 above further teach the step of assigning a transaction identifier to said distributed database transaction (Herriot, identify means, col 2/lines, 52-61, name service comprising identifying means, col 4/lines 34-56, of participants in distributed operations being distributed transactions, name service having said participant data comprising identifying data of database servers participating in said distributed transactions, as discussed above); and the step of causing a node (requesting application program, col 3/lines 46-56, e.g. 204, nodes 101-103 acting as clients) that requires information about participants (required information col 4/lines 34-39, col 65-col 5, line 1, information needed to communicated, col 1/lines 12-19) in said distributed operation to retrieve said participant data (col 4/lines 34-col 5/line 6, data, col 3/lines 36-39) from said name service (requested/returned information, col 5/lines 43-51), requested information from said name service distributed,

Application/Control Number: 09/258,013 (SRIVASTAVA et. al.)

Art Unit: 2152

disseminated, i.e. published data associated with said transaction identifier (identifier of a database participating in a distributed transaction (transaction identifier), discussed above.

Regarding claims 8-10, the combined teachings as discussed above further teach wherein name service process receiving a request from a first requesting process to supply said participant data from one structure residing on multiple or instances of a server, wherein said name service process and said first requesting process reside on said node (Herriot, col 3/lines 46-64 client requesting programs running on name service host) of from one data structure, col 6/lines 46-54).

Regarding claims 11-20, these claim comprise the computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of one or more instructions, wherein the one or more sequences of one or more instructions including instructions which, when executed by one or more processors, cause the one or more processors to perform the steps of the method disclosed on claims 1-10, same rationale is applicable.

4

Art Unit: 2152

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Prieto**, **B.** whose telephone number is (703) 305-0750. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, **Mark H.** Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-6606. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist), further ensuring that a receipt is provided stamped "TC 2100".

Prieto

**Patent Examiner** 

September 9, 2001

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100